1	Gregg McLean Adam, No. 203436				
2	Jonathan Yank, No. 215495 Gonzalo C. Martinez, No. 231724				
3	Jennifer S. Stoughton, No. 238309 CARROLL, BURDICK & McDONOUGH LLP Attorneys at Law 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 400				
4					
5	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.989.5900				
6	Facsimile: 415.989.0932 Email: gadam@cbmlaw.com				
7	jyank@cbmlaw.com gmartinez@cbmlaw.com jstoughton@cbmlaw.com				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
9	San Jose Police Officers' Association				
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA				
12					
13	SAN JOSE POLICE OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION,	No.			
14	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF DAN ICHIGE IN SUPPORT OF SJPOA'S EX PARTE			
15	V.	APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO			
16	CITY OF SAN JOSE, BOARD OF	SHOW CAUSE REGARDING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION			
17	ADMINISTRATION FOR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT				
18	RETIREMENT PLAN OF CITY OF SAN JOSE, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,				
19	Defendants.				
20					
21	I, Dan Ichige, declare and say:				
22	1. I am employed by the City of San Jose as a Police Officer and am a				
23	member of the SAN JOSE POLICE OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION ("SJPOA"). I have				
24	worked as a Police Officer for the City of San Jose since 1996. Before that, I worked as a				
25	Police Officer for the City of Fremont from 1990 to 1996. As a result of my employment				
26	with the City of San Jose, I am familiar with the facts in this matter, as well as those set				
27	forth in this Declaration. If called upon as a witness, I could and would testify				
28	competently to these facts.				
	CBM-SF\SF552205.2				
	DECLARATION OF DAN ICHIGE				

- 2. I submit this declaration in support of the SJPOA's *Ex Parte* Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Regarding Preliminary Injunction ("*Ex Parte* Application for TRO").
- 3. In July 2011, San Jose Police Officers agreed to a 10% pay cut that will be in effect until at least June 2013. This pay cut is in addition to increases to employee contributions for retirement benefits (including increases to employee-paid retirement and retiree health care costs) that amount to an approximate additional 17% decrease to my take-home pay.
- 4. I am informed that this voluntary pay cut, combined with increases to the employee-paid retirement/retiree health care costs in recent years, have made San Jose's police officers among the lowest paid police in the region taking into account total compensation. We currently pay more into our retirement than any other police agency in the region. Effective June 24, 2012, we are slated for an additional approximate 2% decrease to take home pay which will put us even farther behind other law enforcement agencies in the region.
- 5. The cumulative impact of the pay reduction along with the increases to employee-paid retirement/retiree health care costs has forced many officers to take positions with other police agencies in the region. I know several officers who have already left the department and many more who are considering leaving should additional decreases to pay and/or pension benefits occur.
- 6. The prior pay reduction and increases to employee-paid retirement/retiree health care costs have forced me to cut back on most non-essential spending in order to have enough money each month afford basic living expenses. As a result, it is getting increasingly difficult to save any extra money for emergencies.
- 7. Measure B, if implemented, would require that I and other San Jose police officers begin paying 50% of the costs of retiree healthcare, including both the normal cost and unfunded liability. Currently, the unfunded liability percentage is 32% which means that my salary immediately will be decreased by at least another 9% for the CBM-SF\SF552205.2

unfunded retiree health care costs (because we are already paying 7% of the unfunded retiree health care costs) although I will receive the same level of benefits from the City. If this occurs, it will have a devastating impact on my financial stability.

- 8. I have also been informed that the City will start charging me for 50% of the unfunded pension liability although the implementation of the unfunded pension liability charges will be phased in over time. The implementation of this additional decrease will make my financial situation even more precarious because it will reduce my salary by an additional 16% when fully implemented. I have never previously had to pay for unfunded pension liability.
- 9. Measure B will also modify disability retirement for San Jose police officers, as detailed below, such that it will no longer be of any benefit to me. I consider comprehensive disability retirement protection an absolutely crucial employment benefit for my line of work because police work is extremely physically demanding and dangerous. I have first hand knowledge of just how real the threat of serious injury is because I was shot in the abdomen by a suspect during a routine domestic violence call out. Due to the gunshot wound, I had to have emergency surgery and then spend five to seven days in the hospital recuperating. Three days after I was released from the hospital, I was readmitted when they found a softball size cyst had developed in my abdomen. I had another surgery to drain the cyst and spent another five to seven days in the hospital. I was out of work for 6 months while I recovered and have to take medication for the rest of my life to aid my digestion.
- 10. Although my injury was serious, I was fortunate enough to return to full duty after six months. However, it reinforced the fact that police officers face grave threats to their life and limb every day. The call out I was responding to on the day I was shot (domestic violence) is perhaps the most common type of call police officers respond to. There was no mention of a weapon and I had no indication that the suspect was armed until I was shot. That this particular suspect continued shooting at my backup when he arrived underscores just how dangerous even the most common call outs can be. I would CBM-SF\SF552205.2

not have chosen or continued my career in law enforcement with the San Jose Police

Department without the knowledge that I would be protected with full retirement benefits
in the event that I am disabled as a result of actions taken in the line of duty while
performing my job protecting the citizens of San Jose.

- 11. Under the City of San Jose's current disability retirement plan for police officers, I would be deemed disabled if I am no longer able to perform duties within my peace officer classification (i.e. the normal duties of a police officer). Under the current system, if I was rendered disabled, I would be entitled to retirement disability payments of 50% of my current salary for the first 20 years of service and an additional 4% for every year of service thereafter. The City explained these rights to me many times throughout my career and I have relied on these rights throughout my career to protect my family's financial security should I be injured in the line of duty.
- disability retirement plan. My understanding is that instead of analyzing whether I will be able to perform police officer functions, the City will analyze whether I can perform the job functions of any position within the Police Department, including jobs that consist primarily of administrative tasks. If I am found to be physically able to perform the job functions of any position within the Police Department, my disability application will be denied. More troubling, if the job or jobs that I have been found to be able to perform are occupied, I will be terminated from city employment without any retirement benefits. In my experience, the non-police jobs in the department are Alarm Technician (1 positions), Crime Prevention Specialist (5 positions), Latent Fingerprint Examiner (5 positions), and Police Artist (1 position). I do not recall when any of these positions was vacant for any significant period of time.
- 13. If the changes delineated in the prior paragraph are implemented, it will have an immediate and catastrophic impact on me if I become disabled as a result of actions taken in the line of duty, as it will virtually eliminate the availability of any retirement pension. Essentially, the changes have all but guaranteed that I will be CBM-SF\SF552205.2

1	terminated and left without the means to support myself as a result of being injured in the			
2	line of duty. I also consider this to be the worst form of betrayal by the City. I have shed			
3	blood for the City and ask nothing else in return but financial security should I be injured			
4	and unable to return to the line of duty.			
5	14. In light of this risk, if Measure B passes, I and (I am informed) many of			
6	my colleagues will look for law enforcement work at departments that maintain full			
7	disability retirement coverage, rather than risk being hung out to dry during such a time o			
8	need. I have already started looking for jobs in other departments. Moreover, I and other			
9	officers will no longer volunteer for high-risk assignments as I have done in the past. In			
10	fact, I believe the San Jose Police Department will find it difficult, if not impossible, to			
11	find enough officers, not only to fill these crucial roles, but also to simply maintain an			
12	adequate force to fulfill its public-safety and crime-prevention roles.			
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that			
14	the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed this day of			
15	June, 2012,, California.			
16				
17	Don Johi oo			
18	Dan Ichige			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	CDM CD			
	CBM-SF\SF552205.2 -5-			

DECLARATION OF DAN ICHIGE

4	
(manuscript	
2	
4	

terminated and left without the means to support myself as a result of being injured in the line of duty. I also consider this to be the worst form of betrayal by the City. I have shed blood for the City and ask nothing else in return but financial security should I be injured and unable to return to the line of duty.

of my colleagues will look for law enforcement work at departments that maintain full disability retirement coverage, rather than risk being hung out to dry during such a time of need. I have already started looking for jobs in other departments. Moreover, I and other officers will no longer volunteer for high-risk assignments as I have done in the past. In fact, I believe the San Jose Police Department will find it difficult, if not impossible, to find enough officers, not only to fill these crucial roles, but also to simply maintain an adequate force to fulfill its public-safety and crime-prevention roles.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed this ATU day of June, 2012. SAN JOSE. California.

